IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.R.RAMAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC

THURSDAY, THE 1ST MARCH 2007 / 10TH PHALGUNA 1928

WA.No. 544 of 2007()

AGAINST THE ORDER IN WPC.4280/2007 Dated 07/02/2007
.....

APPELLANT: PETITIONERS IN W.P.
---

1. SHIJUMON P.S.,ASSISTANT,
   KERALA STATE WAREHOUSING CORPORATION,
   PETTA, THRIPUNITHURA.

2. SUBHASH M.S., ASSISTANT,
   KERALA STATE WAREHOUSING CORPORATION,
   REGIONAL OFFICE, ERNAKULAM REGION,
   ALUVA.

BY ADV. SRI.ELVIN PETER P.J.
SRI.C.X.ANTONY BENEDICT

RESPONDENTS: RESPONDENTS IN W.P.
---

1. KERALA STATE WAREHOUSING CORPORATION,
   REPRESENTED BY ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR,
   ERNAKULAM, KOCHI-16.

2. THE MANAGING DIRECTOR,
   KERALA STATE WAREHOUSING CORPORATION,
   ERNAKULAM, KOCHI-16.

BY S.C. SRI MAJNU KOMATH

THIS WRIT APPEAL HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 01/03/2007 ALONG WITH W.A.NOS.545/07 AND OTHER CONNECTED CASES, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

P.R.RAMAN & ANTONY DOMINIC, JJ.

W.A.NOS.544,545,548 &
553 OF 2007

Dated this the 1st day of March, 2007

JUDGMENT

Raman, J.

The above writ appeals are filed against the interim order passed by the learned Single Judge declining to grant stay of termination. The learned Single Judge ordered that termination of the services of the petitioners will be subject to the result of the writ petition. Admittedly as of now termination order has been issued to the appellants. It is also submitted by the parties that the writ petition itself is posted for hearing on 8/3/2007. In the above facts and circumstances, we do not find any grounds for interference against the interim order. However, the learned counsel appearing for the appellants apprehended that no counter affidavit is filed as of now and the delay in disposal of the writ petition will adversely affect their rights, as they are now out of employment.

2. The learned Standing Counsel appearing on behalf of the Warehousing Corporation submits that counter affidavit will be peremptorily filed on or before 05/3/2007.

In the above circumstances, it is open to the counsel for the

appellants to bring to the notice of the learned Single Judge before whom the matter is coming up for hearing, the urgency for expedite disposal of the writ petition.

With the above observation these writ appeals are dismissed.

P.R.RAMAN,
Judge.

ANTONY DOMINIC,
Judge.

kcv.